

International journal of management and organizational research

Evaluating Agile's Impact on IT Financial Planning and Project Management Efficiency

David Iyanuoluwa Ajiga ^{1*}, Oladimeji Hamza ², Adeoluwa Eweje ³, Eseoghene Kokogho ⁴, Princess Eloho Odio ⁵

¹ Independent Researcher, Chicago, Illinois, USA

² Canadian Western Bank (CWB), Calgary, Canada

³ Canadian Western Bank, Calgary, Canada

⁴ Deloitte & Touche LLP, Dallas, TX, USA

⁵ Department of Marketing and Business Analytics, Texas A&M University- Commerce, Texas, USA

* Corresponding Author: David Iyanuoluwa Ajiga

Article Info

ISSN (online): 2583-6641

Volume: 03

Issue: 01

January-February 2024

Received: 11-01-2024

Accepted: 18-02-2024

Page No: 70-77

Abstract

This review paper explores the impact of Agile methodology on IT financial planning and project management efficiency. Agile has become a widely adopted framework in the IT sector because it can enhance project delivery timelines, improve scope management, and foster stakeholder engagement. However, the integration of Agile with traditional financial processes presents challenges, particularly in budgeting and financial forecasting. The paper examines the barriers to aligning Agile's iterative approach with fixed financial models and discusses best practices, such as rolling-wave budgeting and continuous communication between finance and project teams, to optimize efficiency. Additionally, future trends in Agile-driven IT project management, including integrating DevOps, artificial intelligence (AI), and hybrid models, are explored as potential solutions to enhance efficiency and adaptability in IT project environments.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54660/IJMOR.2024.3.1.70-77>

Keywords: Agile methodology, IT financial planning, Project management efficiency, Iterative budgeting, DevOps integration

1. Introduction

1.1 Overview of Agile Methodology in IT

Agile methodology is a project management framework that prioritizes flexibility, collaboration, and continuous improvement. Initially developed in the software industry, Agile is designed to address the challenges posed by rapidly changing project requirements and customer needs. Unlike traditional project management methods such as Waterfall, which follow a linear and sequential approach, Agile promotes an iterative process where development occurs in short sprint cycles. Each sprint typically lasts two to four weeks and concludes with the delivery of a working product increment that stakeholders can evaluate and provide feedback on (Arefazar, Nazari, Hafezi, & Maghool, 2022) ^[8].

The core principles of Agile include customer collaboration, responding to change over following a strict plan, and delivering working software frequently. Agile practices, such as daily stand-up meetings, sprint reviews, and retrospective meetings, ensure that teams maintain transparency, encourage communication, and identify opportunities for improvement throughout the project lifecycle. By allowing teams to adapt to changing priorities and requirements in real-time, Agile has proven to be particularly effective in environments where uncertainty and complexity are high, such as IT projects (Singh, 2021) ^[45].

1.2. Importance of Financial Planning and Project Management in IT

In the IT sector, financial planning and project management are critical components of successful project execution. Financial planning ensures that projects are delivered within budget while optimizing the allocation of resources. It involves creating cost estimates, managing budgets, and forecasting financial performance throughout the project lifecycle. In traditional project management, financial plans are typically set at the start of a project and remain fixed, which can lead to inefficiencies if project requirements or market conditions change (Marnada, Raharjo, Hardian, & Prasetyo, 2022) ^[25].

On the other hand, project management is responsible for ensuring that projects are completed on time, meet the desired quality standards, and align with organizational goals. Effective project management involves planning, organizing, leading, and controlling project activities to meet specific objectives. In IT, where projects can be complex and involve multiple stakeholders, strong project management practices are crucial for managing risks, maintaining timelines, and ensuring that deliverables meet business and customer needs (Portny & Portny, 2022) ^[40].

The IT sector, in particular, faces challenges in financial planning and project management due to the speed of technological change and the increasing complexity of projects. As customer demands and market conditions shift, IT projects often require modifications that can significantly impact both timelines and budgets. In this context, traditional project management approaches, which rely on detailed upfront planning, can struggle to accommodate these changes. Agile, with its focus on iterative development and continuous feedback, offers a solution to these challenges, but its impact on financial planning and project management requires careful evaluation (Ozdenizci Kose, 2021) ^[35].

1.3. Objective of the Paper

The primary objective of this paper is to evaluate how the agile methodology affects financial planning and project management efficiency in IT projects. While Agile's flexibility and adaptability offer clear advantages for project execution, its alignment with financial processes is less straightforward. Traditional financial planning models are often rigid and do not accommodate Agile projects' iterative and evolving nature. This creates a tension between agile practices and the need for predictable financial performance, particularly in organizations that rely on fixed budgets and long-term financial forecasts.

By examining the key challenges associated with integrating Agile with financial processes, this paper aims to identify both the barriers and the potential solutions for optimizing financial management under Agile. This includes exploring concepts such as rolling-wave budgeting, which offers a more flexible approach to financial forecasting, and the need for continuous communication between agile teams and financial stakeholders to ensure alignment on budget and resource allocation.

In addition to financial planning, this paper will assess how agile impacts project management efficiency. Agile is widely recognized for improving project delivery timelines, enhancing scope management, and fostering better collaboration between teams and stakeholders. However, the iterative nature of Agile also presents challenges, particularly in terms of tracking project progress and ensuring that all project components remain aligned with the overall organizational goals.

Through an exploration of Agile's effects on both financial and project management processes, this paper seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of the trade-offs and benefits associated with Agile adoption in IT. It will discuss best practices for integrating Agile with financial processes, identify strategies for optimizing project management efficiency under Agile, and explore future trends that may further enhance Agile's effectiveness in IT project management.

2. Agile Methodology in IT Projects.

2.1. Key Principles and Practices of Agile

Agile is founded on four key values, as outlined in the Agile

Manifesto: individuals and interactions over processes and tools, working software over comprehensive documentation, customer collaboration over contract negotiation, and responding to change over following a plan. These values drive the focus on flexibility, collaboration, and the delivery of tangible results (Sandstø & Reme-Ness, 2021) ^[44]. The 12 principles of Agile provide further structure to the methodology. These include prioritizing customer satisfaction through early and continuous delivery, embracing changing requirements, delivering working software frequently, and promoting sustainable development. Agile also encourages self-organizing teams, face-to-face communication, and regular reflection to improve efficiency (Cobb, 2023) ^[11].

Agile is often implemented through specific frameworks like Scrum, Kanban, or Extreme Programming (XP). Scrum is perhaps Agile's most widely used framework, involving sprints or time-boxed periods during which specific features or products are developed. Daily stand-up meetings and sprint reviews are key elements of the Scrum framework, helping teams stay aligned and make necessary adjustments. Kanban, on the other hand, is more focused on visualizing workflow and limiting work in progress to avoid bottlenecks, while XP emphasizes technical practices like pair programming and test-driven development to enhance software quality (Alami, Krancher, & Paasivaara, 2022) ^[5].

These frameworks share the common goal of breaking down projects into smaller, manageable pieces completed in iterative cycles. This enables teams to adapt to changes quickly and incorporate feedback from stakeholders throughout the project lifecycle, leading to continuous improvement.

2.2. Comparison with Traditional Project Management Methods

Traditional project management methods, most notably the Waterfall model, contrast sharply with Agile's iterative and adaptive approach. Waterfall follows a linear, sequential structure, where each phase of the project must be completed before the next phase begins. This model typically consists of a series of predefined stages, including requirements gathering, design, development, testing, and deployment (Addy *et al.*, 2024; Adesina, Iyelolu, & Paul, 2024a) ^[34, 3]. While the Waterfall model is highly structured and works well for projects with well-defined requirements and fixed outcomes, it lacks the flexibility to adapt to changes once a phase has been completed. This rigidity often results in delays, especially in IT projects where requirements may evolve over time (Cobb, 2023) ^[11].

Agile, by comparison, emphasizes continuous delivery and adaptive planning. Projects are broken into smaller chunks, with each iteration resulting in a functional part of the product that stakeholders can evaluate. This allows for faster delivery of working software and ensures that the project remains aligned with the customer's needs throughout its lifecycle. In contrast to Waterfall, where a large amount of effort may be spent on documentation before development begins, Agile places a higher priority on delivering working software and minimizes excessive documentation that can slow down progress (Torrance, 2019) ^[48].

Another key difference is the level of collaboration involved. Waterfall tends to rely heavily on formal processes and documentation, with less interaction between teams and customers once the project is underway. Conversely, Agile promotes continuous communication between developers, customers, and other stakeholders, ensuring that everyone

stays informed about project progress and potential changes. This frequent interaction is vital to Agile's success, allowing teams to quickly adapt to shifting requirements and emerging challenges (Cobb, 2023) ^[11].

Agile is more risk-averse in risk management than traditional models like Waterfall. Agile's iterative cycles allow for frequent testing and feedback, enabling teams to identify and address issues early in development. Waterfall, however, leaves much of the testing and risk identification to the end of the project, which can result in costly rework if issues are discovered late. Despite Agile's growing popularity, there are instances where traditional project management methods may still be more suitable, especially for projects with highly stable requirements and a clear roadmap from start to finish (T. Iyelolu, E. Agu, C. Idemudia, & T. Ijomah, 2024; Komolafe *et al.*, 2024) ^[15, 1]. For example, Waterfall's structured approach may benefit construction projects or certain manufacturing processes. However, Agile's flexibility and customer-centric focus have made it the preferred choice in the rapidly evolving IT sector (Dong, Dacre, Baxter, & Ceylan, 2022) ^[13].

2.3. Adoption Trends in IT Sectors

Agile has experienced widespread adoption across IT sectors due to its proven ability to improve project outcomes, especially in environments where requirements are constantly evolving. A survey conducted by Version One in its *State of Agile Report* found that over 70% of organizations reported using Agile methodologies in some form. This significantly increased from previous years, highlighting Agile's growing influence in IT project management (Lappalainen, 2019) ^[20]. The software development industry, in particular, has embraced Agile, with leading companies like Google, Microsoft, and Spotify adopting Agile frameworks such as Scrum and Kanban to manage their product development cycles. Agile's ability to deliver continuous, incremental improvements aligns well with the needs of software companies that must constantly update and refine their products based on user feedback and technological advances. Beyond software development, Agile has also made inroads into sectors like telecommunications, financial services, and healthcare, where IT plays a crucial role in service delivery and operations. For example, telecommunications companies use Agile to develop new digital services and improve customer support systems, while banks are increasingly relying on Agile to enhance their online and mobile banking platforms. Healthcare organizations are also adopting Agile to manage the development of electronic health record (EHR) systems, telehealth platforms, and other IT-driven solutions aimed at improving patient care (Pesonen, 2022) ^[38].

Agile's adoption is not without its challenges, particularly in larger organizations with deeply entrenched processes and hierarchical structures. In these cases, the transition to Agile can require significant cultural and operational changes and extensive training for teams accustomed to traditional project management methods. Scaled Agile Framework (SAFe) has emerged as a solution to help larger enterprises implement Agile principles across multiple teams and departments, offering a more structured approach to scaling Agile while preserving its core values.

3. Impact of Agile on IT Financial Planning

3.1. How Agile Influences Budgeting and Financial Forecasting

In traditional IT projects, financial planning follows a linear process similar to the Waterfall project management model.

Budgets are set at the start of a project based on a detailed plan outlining all phases, including design, development, testing, and deployment. Once the budget is approved, there is limited flexibility to adjust it as the project progresses, except in the case of major change requests. Financial forecasting is relatively straightforward, as the entire scope of the project is mapped out in advance (Dilger, Ploder, Haas, Schöttle, & Bernsteiner, 2020) ^[39].

In contrast, Agile methodologies operate in short, iterative cycles known as sprints or iterations. Each sprint typically lasts two to four weeks, during which the development team works on a subset of the project's overall functionality. At the end of each sprint, the team delivers a working product increment that can be tested and evaluated. This iterative approach means that the project's scope and requirements can change as new information and customer feedback emerge. As a result, budgeting in Agile projects is more fluid and difficult to predict than in traditional models (Ploder, Dilger, & Bernsteiner, 2020) ^[39].

Agile financial planning focuses on incremental funding rather than allocating a fixed budget upfront. This allows organizations to continuously adjust their financial forecasts based on the project's progress and the stakeholders' changing needs. Rather than committing to a full budget at the start, companies may allocate funds for a specific number of sprints and re-evaluate after each cycle. This enables organizations to make informed decisions about whether to continue funding the project, adjust its scope, or terminate it if it is not delivering the expected value (Layton, Ostermiller, & Kynaston, 2020) ^[21]. Additionally, Agile encourages value-based financial management, where funding is directed toward the highest-priority features or components of the project. By focusing on delivering the most valuable outcomes early, organizations can reduce the risk of investing in features that may not be needed or may become obsolete by the time the project is completed. This approach improves financial efficiency and aligns closely with Agile's emphasis on delivering customer value (Buck, 2020) ^[10].

3.2. Financial Flexibility vs. Fixed Budgeting Models

One of the key distinctions between Agile financial planning and traditional methods is the level of flexibility built into the budgeting process. In a fixed budgeting model, the entire project budget is approved at the outset, with little room for adjustments once the project is underway. This approach works well in environments where the project scope is well-defined and unlikely to change. For instance, fixed budgets provide a clear financial framework for project completion in construction or manufacturing projects, where the materials, labor, and timelines are largely predictable (Ameyaw, Idemudia, & Iyelolu, 2024) ^[7]. However, fixed budgets can be problematic in IT projects where requirements often evolve and new technologies emerge. Suppose a project encounters unexpected challenges or new requirements. In that case, a fixed budget may force teams to cut essential features or request additional funding, which can lead to delays and increased costs. In this context, financial flexibility becomes critical (Obeng, Iyelolu, Akinsulire, & Idemudia, 2024; Ofoegbu, Osundare, Ike, Fakeyede, & Ige; Oyewole *et al.*, 2024) ^[7, 34].

Agile's iterative nature is inherently more flexible than traditional project management models, and this flexibility extends to financial planning. Instead of locking in a fixed budget, Agile allows for continuous re-evaluation of financial

resources based on real-time project needs. For example, suppose a particular feature turns out to be more complex than initially anticipated. In that case, the budget can be adjusted to accommodate the additional effort required. Conversely, suppose a feature is found to be less valuable or unnecessary. In that case, resources can be redirected to other areas of the project (Locke, 2021) ^[23].

Rolling-wave budgeting is a common approach in Agile financial planning, where budgets are only fully detailed for the upcoming sprint or phase, with high-level estimates for future work. This allows organizations to maintain a certain degree of flexibility while still having a framework for financial planning (Cobb, 2023) ^[11]. Rolling-wave budgeting aligns well with Agile's focus on continuous delivery and iterative progress, as it ensures that resources are allocated to support the project's most immediate needs. However, this financial flexibility also introduces challenges. Unlike fixed-budget models, where financial forecasts are relatively stable, agile projects can see significant fluctuations in budgetary needs from sprint to sprint. This can make it difficult for organizations to manage their overall financial planning, particularly if they are simultaneously working on multiple agile projects. Additionally, stakeholders may be uncomfortable with the perceived lack of financial predictability, as Agile projects often do not provide a clear, upfront estimate of total costs (Layton *et al.*, 2020) ^[21].

3.3. Challenges in Aligning Agile Practices with Financial Constraints

While Agile's flexibility offers significant advantages, it also presents challenges when it comes to aligning with traditional financial constraints. Organizations that have long relied on fixed-budget models and annual financial planning cycles may find adapting to Agile's iterative approach difficult. Cultural and organizational resistance can be a significant barrier, as finance departments are typically more comfortable with predictable budgets and long-term forecasts. Agile's focus on adaptability and responsiveness may seem at odds with the desire for financial certainty (Sithambaram, Nasir, & Ahmad, 2021) ^[46]. One of the primary challenges in aligning Agile with financial constraints is the difficulty of creating accurate financial forecasts. In a traditional project, the entire scope is defined upfront, and financial forecasts are based on a detailed project plan. In Agile, however, the scope can change significantly over the course of the project, making it difficult to provide accurate long-term financial estimates. This can be particularly challenging for large organizations that require clear financial projections for resource allocation and investment planning (Reginaldo & Santos, 2020) ^[41].

Another challenge is the risk of budget overruns in Agile projects. While Agile's incremental funding model allows for continuous re-evaluation of financial resources, it also increases the likelihood that a project will exceed its original budget. Without a fixed financial ceiling, Agile projects can continue to grow in scope and cost, leading to budgetary concerns. This is especially problematic in organizations with strict financial controls, where exceeding the budget is not an option (Dumitriu, Meșniță, & Radu, 2019) ^[14].

Many organizations have adopted hybrid approaches that combine Agile principles with more traditional financial planning practices to address these challenges. For example, some organizations may use a fixed-budget Agile model, where the overall project budget is capped, but teams can adjust how resources are allocated within that budget (Abiona

et al., 2024; Olanrewaju, Ekechukwu, & Simpa, 2024) ^[1, 32]. This approach allows organizations to maintain financial control while benefiting from Agile's adaptability. Continuous communication between finance and Agile project teams is also essential for managing financial constraints. By involving financial stakeholders early in the planning process and maintaining open lines of communication throughout the project, organizations can ensure that financial concerns are addressed without compromising Agile's flexibility (Papadakis & Tsironis, 2020) ^[36].

4. Agile's Role in Enhancing Project Management Efficiency

4.1 Improvements in Project Delivery Timelines, Scope, and Stakeholder Engagement

One of the most notable contributions of Agile to project management efficiency is its impact on project delivery timelines. Traditional project management methods, such as Waterfall, often suffer from delays due to their linear, sequential approach. Each phase must be completed before moving on to the next, leading to bottlenecks and extended timelines, especially if requirements change mid-project (Adesina, Iyelolu, & Paul, 2024b) ^[4]. In contrast, Agile operates on short, iterative cycles known as sprints, typically lasting two to four weeks. Each sprint delivers a working product increment that stakeholders can evaluate. By breaking the project into smaller, manageable units, Agile enables faster delivery of functional components and reduces the time it takes to get a product to market (Thesing, Feldmann, & Burchardt, 2021) ^[47].

Scope management is another area where Agile significantly improves project efficiency. In traditional models, the project scope is defined upfront, and any changes to the scope require formal change requests, often causing delays and increasing costs (T. V. Iyelolu, E. E. Agu, C. Idemudia, & T. I. Ijomah, 2024) ^[16]. Agile, however, embraces scope flexibility through continuous feedback and iterative planning. This means that as new requirements or challenges emerge, the project scope can be adjusted without disrupting the entire project. By allowing for adaptive scope changes, Agile ensures that the final product better aligns with user needs and business goals, without the delays typically associated with scope creep in traditional models (Marques, Morais, Alves, & Gonçalves, 2023) ^[26].

Agile also fosters better stakeholder engagement, which is crucial for project success. In traditional project management, stakeholders are often only involved at the beginning and end of the project, limiting their ability to provide input during development. Agile, on the other hand, encourages continuous collaboration between the development team and stakeholders. Regular sprint reviews, where the team presents the progress made during each iteration, allow stakeholders to provide real-time feedback and influence the project's direction. This ongoing communication ensures that the project stays aligned with stakeholder expectations, reduces misunderstandings, and allows for faster decision-making. As a result, Agile improves both the quality and efficiency of project outcomes by maintaining a clear and consistent dialogue between all parties involved (Lieberum, 2023) ^[22].

4.2. Real-Time Project Tracking and Iterative Planning

One of the core features of Agile that enhances project management efficiency is real-time project tracking. In traditional project management, progress is often tracked using Gantt charts or other static tools that provide a high-

level overview of the project timeline. However, these tools can be slow to reflect real-time changes, making it difficult for teams to respond to emerging issues quickly. Agile addresses this issue through the use of dynamic tracking tools, such as Kanban boards and burndown charts, which provide a visual representation of project progress. These tools allow teams to monitor task completion, identify bottlenecks, and make adjustments in real-time, ensuring that the project stays on track (Kerzner, 2022) ^[18].

Kanban boards, for instance, visualize the flow of tasks from initiation to completion, helping teams identify where tasks may be stalled and where additional resources may be needed. Burndown charts track the amount of work remaining in a sprint, allowing teams to forecast whether they are on track to complete the work within the designated time frame. This real-time visibility into project progress allows for immediate corrective actions, reducing the likelihood of delays and improving overall efficiency (Pereira, Santos, Machado, & Zaina, 2022) ^[37].

Another key factor in Agile's efficiency gains is iterative planning. Unlike traditional project management, where a detailed plan is created upfront and followed rigidly throughout the project, Agile uses iterative planning to continuously adjust the project plan based on new information and changing priorities. At the beginning of each sprint, the team holds a sprint planning meeting to define the tasks to be completed during the sprint. This iterative approach allows for a more accurate allocation of resources, as the team can plan based on their actual capacity and the project's current needs (Cobb, 2023) ^[11]. Agile's iterative planning also improves risk management. By frequently reassessing project priorities and delivering working product increments, Agile enables teams to identify and address risks early in development. This reduces the likelihood of encountering major issues late in the project, which can be costly and time-consuming to fix. Additionally, the regular feedback loops between development teams and stakeholders ensure that potential problems are caught and resolved before they escalate (Vieira, CR Hauck, & Matalonga, 2020) ^[49].

4.3. Case Examples of Efficiency Gains through Agile Adoption

Numerous organizations have adopted Agile methodologies and experienced significant improvements in project management efficiency. One notable example is Spotify, the global music streaming giant. Spotify adopted Agile early in its development, using Scrum and Kanban frameworks to manage its product development cycles. By breaking the product into smaller components and working in short sprints, Spotify was able to release new features rapidly, continuously improving its platform based on real-time user feedback. This allowed the company to maintain its competitive edge in the highly dynamic tech industry. Agile also enabled Spotify to scale its development teams while maintaining high levels of collaboration and alignment across the organization (Lundberg, 2020) ^[24].

Another example comes from ING Bank, which adopted Agile to improve its IT operations. Before implementing Agile, ING followed a more traditional approach to project management, which often led to delays and inefficiencies. After transitioning to Agile, the bank restructured its teams into smaller, cross-functional units, known as squads that worked independently on specific product features. This Agile transformation allowed ING to deliver new digital

banking services faster and with greater flexibility. The bank also reported improved communication between its development teams and business units, leading to better alignment between IT initiatives and business goals (Alasad, 2020) ^[6].

Toyota has also embraced Agile principles in the automotive industry to improve its software development processes. As modern vehicles become increasingly reliant on sophisticated software systems, the need for efficient software development has grown. Toyota adopted Extreme Programming (XP), an Agile framework, to streamline its software development for in-vehicle systems. By implementing Agile, Toyota was able to accelerate the development of new features while maintaining high standards of quality and safety (Rodríguez *et al.*, 2019) ^[48]. These examples highlight how Agile can drive substantial efficiency gains across diverse industries. Whether through faster delivery of new features, improved collaboration, or more adaptive planning processes, Agile has consistently demonstrated its ability to enhance project management efficiency (Kedi, Ejimuda, & Ajegbile, 2024; Oyeniran *et al.*, 2024) ^[17, 33].

5. Challenges and Future Considerations

5.1. Barriers to Integrating Agile with IT Financial Processes

One of the primary barriers to integrating Agile with IT financial processes is the conflict between traditional budgeting models and Agile's iterative nature. Conventional financial planning relies on fixed budgets and long-term forecasts, which are difficult to apply to Agile's short, adaptive development cycles. In traditional models, all costs are projected at the start of a project, with minimal room for change. Agile, however, encourages continuous change and refinement, making it hard to predict the total cost of a project upfront. This lack of predictability creates tension between project teams and financial departments, which prefer clarity and stability in budgeting.

Another challenge is the difficulty in aligning financial milestones with Agile's delivery process. Traditional project management often ties financial milestones to the completion of specific project phases, such as design or testing. Agile, however, delivers product increments regularly through sprints. Financial systems that require predefined milestones struggle to accommodate Agile's continuous delivery approach. This creates issues in tracking financial performance against project progress.

Additionally, organizational resistance to changing long-standing financial practices can be a barrier. Finance departments accustomed to fixed budgeting may resist adopting the flexible financial planning required for Agile projects. This resistance can hinder the full integration of Agile practices into an organization's financial framework.

5.2. Best Practices for Optimizing Financial and Project Management Efficiency

To overcome these challenges, organizations can implement best practices that align Agile processes with financial management. One such approach is rolling-wave budgeting, where financial forecasts are adjusted incrementally as the project progresses. This technique allows for greater financial flexibility by revising budgets based on real-time project needs and developments after each sprint. Rolling-wave budgeting aligns with Agile's adaptability while giving finance teams sufficient control over costs.

Another best practice is to foster continuous communication between finance and Agile teams. Finance departments should be involved in the project from the beginning and throughout its life cycle. Regular collaboration ensures that financial implications are considered during each sprint, and it enables both teams to adjust plans when necessary. Value-based funding is another effective strategy, where financial resources are directed toward high-priority tasks that deliver the most business value. This allows organizations to focus on delivering the most critical features early in the project, reducing the risk of investing in less valuable work.

5.3. Future Trends in Agile-Driven IT Project Management

Several trends are likely to shape the future of Agile-driven IT project management. One key trend is the growing integration of Agile and DevOps, further accelerating project delivery. DevOps emphasizes collaboration between development and operations teams, automating many aspects of the development pipeline. This approach complements Agile's focus on continuous delivery and will likely become more widespread in IT project management.

Another future consideration is the increased use of artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning (ML) tools to enhance Agile processes. AI can help project managers predict project outcomes, optimize resource allocation, and automate repetitive tasks, while ML can analyze historical data to refine sprint planning and improve project efficiency. Finally, hybrid models that combine Agile with traditional project management practices are expected to become more popular. These models aim to retain the flexibility of Agile while incorporating the financial stability of traditional methods, offering a balance that suits organizations with varying project requirements.

6. Conclusion

Agile methodology has undeniably reshaped the landscape of IT project management by fostering flexibility, collaboration, and adaptability. Its iterative processes allow teams to deliver faster results, respond to changing requirements, and maintain continuous stakeholder engagement. However, its impact on financial planning and project management efficiency presents both opportunities and challenges. Traditional financial processes, which rely on fixed budgets and long-term forecasts, struggle to align with Agile's dynamic nature, leading to tension between predictable financial performance and Agile's fluid approach to development.

This paper has explored how Agile affects IT financial planning by highlighting challenges such as flexible budgeting models like rolling-wave budgeting and the importance of continuous communication between Agile teams and financial departments. Additionally, examining Agile's role in enhancing project management efficiency shows that Agile can improve project delivery timelines, scope management, and team collaboration through real-time tracking and iterative planning.

While Agile offers significant benefits, integrating it into existing financial frameworks requires careful consideration and adjustments. Best practices, such as value-based funding and close collaboration between finance and project teams, can mitigate the challenges of aligning Agile with traditional financial models. Integrating DevOps, AI, and hybrid methodologies can enhance Agile's effectiveness further, making it even more valuable in IT project management.

7. References

1. Abiona OO, Oladapo OJ, Modupe OT, Oyeniran OC, Adewusi AO, Komolafe AM. The emergence and importance of DevSecOps: Integrating and reviewing security practices within the DevOps pipeline. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences*. 2024;11(2):127-133.
2. Addy WA, Ajayi-Nifise AO, Bello BG, Tula ST, Odeyemi O, Falaiye T. Machine learning in financial markets: A critical review of algorithmic trading and risk management. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*. 2024;11(1):1853-1862.
3. Adesina AA, Iyelolu TV, Paul PO. Leveraging predictive analytics for strategic decision-making: Enhancing business performance through data-driven insights. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;22(3):1927-1934.
4. Adesina AA, Iyelolu TV, Paul PO. Optimizing business processes with advanced analytics: Techniques for efficiency and productivity improvement. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;22(3):1917-1926.
5. Alami A, Krancher O, Paasivaara M. The journey to technical excellence in agile software development. *Information and Software Technology*. 2022;150:106959.
6. Alasad H. The Impact of Agile Processes on Organisational Behaviour Within Current Banking Practice. Auckland University of Technology; 2020.
7. Ameyaw M, Idemudia C, Iyelolu T. Financial compliance as a pillar of corporate integrity: A thorough analysis of fraud prevention. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*. 2024;6(7):1157-1177.
8. Arefazar Y, Nazari A, Hafezi MR, Maghool SAH. Prioritizing agile project management strategies as a change management tool in construction projects. *International Journal of Construction Management*. 2022;22(4):678-689.
9. Breyter M. Agile Product and Project Management. [Publisher details missing].
10. Buck J. Company-wide Agility with Beyond Budgeting, Open Space & Sociocracy: Survive & Thrive on Disruption. Jutta Eckstein; 2020.
11. Cobb CG. The project manager's guide to mastering Agile: Principles and practices for an adaptive approach. John Wiley & Sons; 2023.
12. Dilger T, Ploder C, Haas W, Schöttle P, Bernsteiner R. Continuous Planning and Forecasting Framework (CPFF) for Agile Project Management: Overcoming the. In: *Proceedings of the 21st Annual Conference on Information Technology Education*; 2020.
13. Dong H, Dacre N, Baxter D, Ceylan S. Understanding agile in project management. [Publisher details missing]; 2022.
14. Dumitriu F, Meșniță G, Radu LD. Challenges and Solutions of Applying Large-Scale Agile at Organizational Level. *Informatica Economica*. 2019;23(3).
15. Iyelolu T, Agu E, Idemudia C, Ijomah T. Legal innovations in FinTech: Advancing financial services through regulatory reform. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*. 2024;6(8):1310-1319.
16. Iyelolu TV, Agu EE, Idemudia C, Ijomah TI. Conceptualizing mobile banking and payment systems:

- Adoption trends and security considerations in Africa and the US. *International Journal of Science and Technology Research Archive*. 2024;7(1):001-009.
17. Kedi WE, Ejimuda C, Ajegbile MD. Cloud computing in healthcare: A comprehensive review of data storage and analysis solutions. *World Journal of Advanced Engineering Technology and Sciences*. 2024;12(2):290-298.
 18. Kerzner H. *Project management metrics, KPIs, and dashboards: A guide to measuring and monitoring project performance*. John Wiley & Sons; 2022.
 19. Komolafe AM, Aderotoye IA, Abiona OO, Adewusi AO, Obijuru A, Modupe OT, Oyeniran OC. Harnessing business analytics for gaining competitive advantage in emerging markets: A systematic review of approaches and outcomes. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*. 2024;6(3):838-862.
 20. Lappalainen T. *Agile Methodologies in Product Development: Case Discrete Manufacturing and Assembly*. [Publisher details missing]; 2019.
 21. Layton MC, Ostermiller SJ, Kynaston DJ. *Agile project management for dummies*. John Wiley & Sons; 2020.
 22. Lieberum T. *Behavioral Aspects in Project Management: A Comparison of Agile and Waterfall Project Management*. Technische Universität München; 2023.
 23. Locke K. *Transitioning from Traditional to Agile Approaches: A Qualitative Study from the Perspective of Software Project Management Professionals*. Capella University; 2021.
 24. Lundberg A. Successful with the Agile Spotify Framework: Squads, Tribes and Chapters—The Next Step After Scrum and Kanban? *BoD—Books on Demand*; 2020.
 25. Marnada P, Raharjo T, Hardian B, Prasetyo A. Agile project management challenge in handling scope and change: A systematic literature review. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2022;197:290-300.
 26. Marques JAL, Morais JJBdR, Alves J, Gonçalves M. Effectiveness analysis of waterfall and agile project management methodologies—A case study from Macau's construction industry. *Revista Gestão em Análise*. 2023;12(1):23-38.
 27. Obeng S, Iyelolu TV, Akinsulire AA, Idemudia C. The Transformative Impact of Financial Technology (FinTech) on Regulatory Compliance in the Banking Sector. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;23(1):2008-2018.
 28. Ofoegbu KDO, Osundare OS, Ike CS, Fakeyede OG, Ige AB. Data-Driven Cyber Threat Intelligence: Leveraging Behavioral Analytics for Proactive Defense Mechanisms. [Publisher details missing].
 29. Ofoegbu KDO, Osundare OS, Ike CS, Fakeyede OG, Ige AB. Enhancing cybersecurity resilience through real-time data analytics and user empowerment strategies. [Publisher details missing].
 30. Ofoegbu KDO, Osundare OS, Ike CS, Fakeyede OG, Ige AB. Proactive cyber threat mitigation: Integrating data-driven insights with user-centric security protocols. [Publisher details missing].
 31. Ofoegbu KDO, Osundare OS, Ike CS, Fakeyede OG, Ige AB. Real-Time Cybersecurity threat detection using machine learning and big data analytics: A comprehensive approach. [Publisher details missing].
 32. Olanrewaju OIK, Ekechukwu DE, Simpa P. Driving energy transition through financial innovation: The critical role of Big Data and ESG metrics. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*. 2024;5(6):1434-1452.
 33. Oyeniran OC, Modupe OT, Otitoola AA, Abiona OO, Adewusi AO, Oladapo OJ. A comprehensive review of leveraging cloud-native technologies for scalability and resilience in software development. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*. 2024;11(2):330-337.
 34. Oyewole AT, Adeoye OB, Addy WA, Okoye CC, Ofodile OC, Ugochukwu CE. Promoting sustainability in finance with AI: A review of current practices and future potential. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*. 2024;21(3):590-607.
 35. Ozdenizci Kose B. Business process management approach for improving agile software process and agile maturity. *Journal of Software: Evolution and Process*. 2021;33(4):e2331.
 36. Papadakis E, Tsironis L. Towards a hybrid project management framework: A systematic literature review on traditional, agile and hybrid techniques. *The Journal of Modern Project Management*. 2020;8(2).
 37. Pereira C, Santos A, Machado L, Zaina L. How developers feel about tools: An investigation on software startup professionals experience with virtual kanban boards. In: *Proceedings of the 15th International Conference on Cooperative and Human Aspects of Software Engineering*; 2022.
 38. Pesonen E. *Managing marketing in the digital era: Evaluation of agile methodologies in marketing*. [Publisher details missing]; 2022.
 39. Ploder C, Dilger T, Bernsteiner R. A Framework to Combine Corporate Budgeting with Agile Project Management. In: *Software Engineering (Workshops)*; 2020.
 40. Portny JL, Portny SE. *Project management for dummies*. John Wiley & Sons; 2022.
 41. Reginaldo F, Santos G. Challenges in agile transformation journey: A qualitative study. In: *Proceedings of the XXXIV Brazilian Symposium on Software Engineering*; 2020.
 42. Rodríguez P, Mäntylä M, Oivo M, Lwakatare LE, Seppänen P, Kuvaja P. Advances in using agile and lean processes for software development. In: *Advances in computers*. Vol. 113. Elsevier; 2019. p. 135-224.
 43. Sabharwal N, Rathore R, Agrawal U. *Hands-On Guide to AgileOps*. [Publisher details missing].
 44. Sandstø R, Reme-Ness C. *Agile practices and impacts on project success*. [Publisher details missing]; 2021.
 45. Singh K. Agile methodology for product development: A conceptual study. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*. 2021;10(1):209-215.
 46. Sithambaram J, Nasir MHNBM, Ahmad R. Issues and challenges impacting the successful management of agile-hybrid projects: A grounded theory approach. *International Journal of Project Management*. 2021;39(5):474-495.
 47. Thesing T, Feldmann C, Burchardt M. Agile versus waterfall project management: Decision model for selecting the appropriate approach to a project. *Procedia Computer Science*. 2021;181:746-756.
 48. Torrance M. *Agile for instructional designers: Iterative project management to achieve results*. Association for

Talent Development; 2019.

49. Vieira M, CR Hauck J, Matalonga S. How explicit risk management is being integrated into agile methods: Results from a systematic literature mapping. In: Proceedings of the XIX Brazilian Symposium on Software Quality; 2020.